INDEX

of .

EXHIBITS

No.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1536	2613		exhibit No. 761-A entitled "Military on Foreign Problems the Standpoint of the Kwantung Army's Missexpressed by the Medwith Ambassador ARIS by Chief Staff Officof the Kwantung Army Major-General ITAGAN Seishiro	Views from he sion" eting TA cer	22468
1422	2614		Telegram Message of For Minister ARITA Addre to Japanese Ambassac to Germany MUSHANOKO dated 8 May 1936	essed dor	22474
			NOON RECESS		22479
1426	2615		Telegram of Ambassador SUGIMURA Addressed to Foreign Minister ARITA, dated 18 November 1936	•	22 500
1427	2616		Telegram to Ambassador SUGIMURA Addressed to Foreign Minister ARITA, dated 28 November 1936	r	22502
			AFTERNOON RECESS		22513

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

2.4	Def.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1310	2617		Report from the German Ambassador in Tokyo, von Dirksen, to the German Foreign Office in Berlin, dated 24 March 1937 re the Conversation with the Japanese Foreign Minister SATO		22513
1428	2618		Telegram Dispatched by Ambassador SUGIMURA and Addressed to Fore Minister SATO, dated 25 May 1937	ign	22524
1314	2619		Telegram from the German Foreign Office sent b the German Under Secr of State Weizacker to German Ambassador in dated 15 May 1939	y etary the	22540

1	Monday, 19 May 1947
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST
5	Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building
6	Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	Appearances:
12	For the Tribunal, same as before.
13	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
14	For the Tofense Section, same as before.
15	
16	(English to Japanese and Japanese
17	to English interpretation was made by the
18	Language Section, IMTFE.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Kapleau & Wolf

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: We have come to a conclusion on the affidavit last presented. We think that it infringes rules laid down by the Tribunal, more particularly, the rules relating to documents and relating to the evidence of communistic and other activities against Japanese nationals and property.

As to the latter rule, it may possibly be within it, but that does not appear on the face of the document. If the affidavit is redrafted so as to show where are the documents on which it was based, the originals; what happened to those originals; what happened generally to the documents of the South Manchurian Railway Company that are relied upon; and that if further it shows compliance with the rule as regards communistic and other activities against Japanese nationals and property and omits all opinion, it may, on being tendered, be accepted by a majority if not by all the Members of the Tribunal.

The objection to the present affidavit is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. OHARA: Paying attention to what has been stated by you, Mr. President, the affidavit will be redrafted.

THE PRESIDENT: You are wasting time and money and materials and services in tendering documents which do not comply with our rules. It is inevitable that all such documents will be rejected.

Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, this question that has just been decided has a bearing on much of our other evidence which I propose to introduce, and I wonder if I could just say a word or two about -- comment upon the subdivisions of your ruling just now and explanation so that I will not have to do it piecemeal as I go through my list of documents.

THE PRESIDENT: The decision just given has been carefully considered. It is for the majority of the Tribunal to say whether they will review it. Personally, I will not.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, in the first place,
your Honor, Mr. OHARA was not through with his
argument on the question Friday when the Court adjourned, and these points were covered in his subsequent
argument which was prepared.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, the record is perfectly clear on the point just mentioned by counsel. There is nothing to indicate

that counsel desired to be further heard on the matter.

THE PRESIDENT: I certainly tried to cut him short when I thought he was repeating himself, but a colleague with more liberal views than I had at the time, suggested hearing him to the finish and we did. Then, at page 22,438 of the transcript it appears that I told Mr. OHARA he wasn't adding to his argument, he was repeating it, but he continued for a line or so. Then I said, "Well, have you anything to add?" and Mr. OHARA said this: "I morely wish to state that I will avoid reading the part in these documents which may be considered as opinion, and also I submit to this Tribunal that the testimony of this witness is within the ruling of the Court." We then adjourned five minutes earlier to give our -- to consider our decision. We gave our considered decision this morning. That is the position, Mr. Cunningham.

19

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21 22

23

24

MR. CUNNINGHAM Well, your Honor, may I

state my understanding, so that I can preface my remarks on the introduction of future documents and affidavits? It is not my understanding that affidavits come under the rules applied by the Court to other documents, and that is the first section of the Court's ruling this morning.

THE PRESIDENT: I venture to say that every Fember of the Court disagrees with you. I am slow in venturing to speak for all, But I am sure of their opinion about that.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: In the interest of saving time, the witness is here and could account for these documents very readily in a 5-minute discussion with the witness, instead of redrafting the affidavit, which will take hours and maybe days and additional reprocessing. It seems to me that it is a simple process to call the witness and ask him where the documents are.

THE PRESIDENT: As a colleague states, whether evidence is <u>viva voce</u> or on affidavit, there must still be the best evidence, whether on documents or otherwise; and you are urged by other Members of the Court to read the rulings of the Court, Mr. Cunningham. They apply to your particular accused

as well as to the other accused.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, my thought is that it depends upon the part of the record you read. Now, the best evidence rule, as I understand it. does not apply in this court, it has not for the prosecution, and does not under the Charter. That is the way I interpret it.

THE PRESIDENT: We don't reject evidence because of non-compliance with the best-evidence rule, but we insist on the best evidence available, and it is for you to prove that it is not available. We are called upon to decide matters of the greatest importance in all history, and surely we have a duty to get the best evidence that is available, and you have a duty to show whether that evidence is available or not.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, your Honor, you must trust us to make every effort to give you the best evidence that is available. Under the Charter our obligation is either to give you the best evidence or state it is not readily available. That is the way I read the Charter.

THE PRESIDENT: We are asking you to comply with the Charter, which makes probative value the test, and probative value depends upon the

1 2

strength of the evidence. We want the strongest evidence you can get, and if you can't get it you can say so. But you must say so on oath. If you can't get the document tell us why. Give us your reasons. Make them as convincing as you can, but put them on oath.

IR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor must assume that we are going to give you the best possible evidence that is available, and the only way that I can account for the documents which you want accounted for is to ask the witness, under oath, to say in court where those documents are, where he inspected them, where he believes they were at the time, and why they are not in his possession now; and I can do no more.

THE PRESIDENT: Obviously there is no difference between us, Mr. Cunningham, except this: that we want you to state in the affidavit where the document is and why it is not available if you don't produce it. We expect you to give us in the affidavit convincing reasons for the non-production of any document on which you rely. You surely cannot reasonably withhold your consent to do that.

G 1 r 2 e e 3 n b 4 r 5 g 8 7 S 1 е 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

erations and certainly we cannot keep an affidavit as a running commentary of the twenty-four hour rulings of the Court. The rulings here in court cannot be kept current in the affidavits. We have to prepare them in accordance with our understanding at the time the affidavit is drafted, and that is what was done in this case, and it would be a simple matter to correct it now.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, your Honor, this

THE PRESIDENT: This affidavit is dated the first of May long after the rulings to which I have referred.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, your Honor, but that affidavit was in the process of making long before, perhaps, and that is just the culmination, that is when the affidavit was finally signed by the witness, but that is no criterion to determine when the affidavit was prepared.

THE PRESIDENT: It wasn't an affidavit when we gave our ruling, it had yet to become one and our ruling should have been complied with. The more we hear from you, the more convinced we are we should adhere to our decision even if we were inclined to reopen it.

20

21

23

24

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I hope I will be more convincing of the second point, which concerns all my documents, and that concerns the question of communism in China and elsewhere which perhaps fit the documents which were introduced in the China phase up to that point, but it is my suggestion that the ruling of the Tribunal on that question, as far as the Anti-Comintern Pact, does not go far enough.

THE PRESIDENT: Among other rulings, all affidavits should comply with our rulings about ideologies.

Tribunal adopt this rule as applicable to the evidence relating to the Anti-Comintern Pact which is the American rule, the policy of the American government, as I understand it, as recently announced.

THE PRESIDENT: This is not an American court. This court is international and non-political and I should not have to tell you that, Mr.Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, I understand perfectly the limitations, I am only asking in this Tribunal for the adoption of a rule of evidence for the introduction of testimony and the documents in this Anti-Comintern Pact which I think is sound, reasonable, legal and which certainly serves as a

justification for the activities which the Japanese leaders took in bringing about the Anti-Comintern Poct and I should certainly be allowed to state the rule.

THE FRESIDERT: This Court is not going to be dragged into the vortex of national politics of any kind. The debate is closed.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: We now offer, as proof of the development of the communist activities in Chine, defense document No. 960-F which unfortunately was not processed in time for presentation last month. It is a document found in the Foreign Office and embraces the view of what took place in China, which view later formed part of the basis of the Japanese policy toward the communist ectivities. The view is corroborated completely in the terms of the Anti-Comintern Fact.

HR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in my sub-17 rission this document equally offends against all 19 the rulings of the Court. It is an intelligence 20 eport compiled by an unknown author and it deals 21exclusively with the history of the Communist Party 22in China. There is no reference in it, as far as I agen discover, to any activities or plan of activities 22 sainst Japan of any sort or kind. It is therefore 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

objectionable both by its nature and contents.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well I submit that the Anti-Comintern Pact was directed against the spreading of communism in Asia and any evidence on that proposition to justify the fears and to justify leaders in bringing about that pact has probative value, is vitally important and certainly is material and relevant to the issues in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham, on the general phases, we endeavor to ascertain the actual facts. But, when we come to deal with the individuals, then each individual accused can say what his fears were about, say, communism. We have already made that plain. You cannot ascertain the actual facts of any particular phase, what was said, and what was done, by ascertaining the state of mind of twentyfive accused people. They were in varying states of mind, very probably. But, no matter what may be the state of facts on an individual phase, the defense is always open to state that the accused acted on a belief, although a mistaken one. Therefore, each individual accused will be entitled to tell us what was his belief, regardless of what may have been the facts; and, if his belief was reasonable and honest, it may be a defense to him.

I should like to ask Mr. Comyns Carr what case the prosecution allege the defense have to meet in regard to the Anti-Comintern Pact.

MR. CCMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in our submission it really raises three points: If this Anti-Comintern Pact was nothing more than appears on its face, a mutual agreement to exchange information and even to assist one another in resisting the spread

1

3

5

7

8

.6

9

11

10

12

14

15

17

18 19

20

21

23

of communism in their own countries, then I would say no case at all. Various people may agree or disagree with such a policy, but it involves no breach of international law. But, when it is used, as I understand from the remarks of my learned friend just now, it is proposed to be used, as an excuse for armed intervention in order to prevent the spread of communism in another country, namely China, then, we submit, that does involve a serious breach of international law, just as much as armed intervention in the internal affairs of any other country for any other reason.

Secondly, we say that the secret protocol attached to it shows that it was not a genuine Anti-Comintern Pact at all but an alliance against the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

Thirdly, we say that it was the first step towards the much wider agreement of common action which was finally crystallized in the Tri-Partite Pact of September, 1940. We do not suggest that it has any significance in this case beyond those three aspects.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Do you wish my reaction to those four suggestions that he made so that we

can see how far apart we are on the theory of this Anti-Comintern Pact in this trial?

THE PRESIDENT: Now that we know what the prosecution is suggesting you have to meet, I think, in fairness to you, we should have your understanding of what you have to meet.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: On the first point, of whether or not the Anti-Comintern Pact and the secret agreement means what it says or means something else, we propose to prove that it means just exactly what it says.

On the second point, the effect of the secret agreement, we propose to show that the secret
agreement was abrogated, that it should not be an
issue in this case, that it was violated by one of
the parties, and that it was agreed that it had no
further force and effect between the direct parties
to it.

On the third proposition, whether or not the Anti-Comintern Pact and the secret agreement was the first step forward toward a conspiracy, we expect to prove that it was the first step forward toward curtailing the spread of communism in Asia. The prosecution has charged four or five paragraphs in Section 7 of the Appendix to this Indictment con-

.

cerning the effect of this pact, and we propose to meet every line of that 7th Section pertaining to the Anti-Comintern Pact.

Now, on the suggestion of the Tribunal that, perhaps, the defendants were mistaken in their belief that this was a good thing, I believe that there was difference of opinion, but I think that history has shown, and I believe they think now, that it was a good thing and that they were not mistaken about it; but I cannot say for sure on that. That is a matter of the present rather than the past.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE PRESIDENT: It is their belief at the time they did the things charged, if they did them, that matters, not any subsequent belief.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have one more point on the first observation made by your Honor about when to offer this evidence.

I suggest that we should be permitted to use our judgment when we think the evidence would be most effective in the presentation of it, and that it isn't necessary to prolong the agony of hearing this testimony at one time another. Our thought is that this is the best, the most logical, the chronological and the most agreeable time to us, and the most effective to offer this evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: On the phases we are to ascertain the actual facts. In the case of each accused we may consider his mistaken belief as to the facts. They are two different things. You can't mix them.

You can't ascertain the actual facts of any particular situation by taking into account the individual beliefs of twenty-five different men when those individual beliefs may have been entirely different.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: We adopted the theory that you must meet a general issue by general proof and not a general issue by special proof. Now, that is my

W h a l e n

> 11 12

10

13

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

thought on the thing.

2 3

1

4

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

THE PRESIDENT: To secure what you want we would have to have, say, twenty-five Manchurian phases; we would have the ARAKI Manchurian phase, the DOHIHARA Manchurian phase, the ITAGAKI Manchurian phase. How absurd.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, that sounds to me like your argument. I am arguing for just the opposite, that we as individuals do not wish to answer all of these general propositions, but we are only interested in answering the things for which the individuals are charged, not the broad governmental policy of Japan while these men were in office.

THE PRESIDENT: You forget that we did not insist upon proof by phases. The prosecution suggested it. There was no dissent. You adopted it; you, the defense, adopted it. We did not insist on that.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, your Honor, you are addressing one of the defense counsel who opposed presenting our case in phases, but I was overruled by the majority. And, certainly, I shouldn't be put in the position where I am condemned for adopting a thing that I am merely advocating because the majority were in favor of it.

THE PRESIDENT: Having personally vindicated

yourself, I will now give the decision of the Court on the question whether this document should be admitted. By a majority, the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now I present defense document 959-A, which is the second document unprocessed at the time of the last presentation of evidence on the communist problem. It shows conditions which operated in China as a forerunner of the events which led up to the Anti-Comintern Pact. Unless this document is allowed, it will be necessary for us to present a witness on the subject covered. This is a time-saver, and since it is merely background material, elaborated upon in later official declarations of Japanese statesmen, we ask that it be received for whatever probative value it may have now. It is very difficult to evaluate it unrelated to the evidence to follow. I refer to defense document 959-A, as part of an official document produced in the Asiatic Bureau of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan; and I refer especially to the words "armed action" on page 26. It is something to reflect upon.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please your Honor, this document is subject to exactly the same objections as the last. The minor objection is that it is a compilation of a historical character by an unknown author; but the major objection is that if every single statement in these twenty-seven pages were true, it could not possibly have any bearing on

any real issue in this case nor afford any defense to any of these accused.

There is not, as far as I can trace, any reference to Japan in it throughout; and in that connection I remark that it is clear, if one looks at page 26, that the armed action referred to is armed action against the Nationalist Government of China. That is to say, the document deals purely with internal disputes, political and apparently possibly also military, within China; and in our submission neither the actual existence of such a state of affairs nor an honest belief in its existence could possibly form any justification for hostile action by Japan against China or any party in China.

But I should like to guard against possibly being thought to admit that if the contemplated armed action was against Japan or the Japanese, it would be in any different position. In my submission, anybody in China was justified in contemplating or advocating armed action against the Japanese for either of two purposes: either for the purpose of recovering the territory which in December 1932, the date of this document, had already been unlawfully occupied by Japan, or for the purpose of resisting further Japanese aggression. I cannot find in this document any

1 2

reference to either of those matters, but I wish to guard the position from being misunderstood in the future. THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

,

Spratt & Yelden

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

I suggest that, naturally, Mr. Carr takes a very narrow view of what our evidence should cover in this case but I take the broad view that the existence anywhere in 1936 of a communistic-dominated government was a matter of vital concern to the national security of Japan. Now, that is my theory on the second proposition, China especially in Asia particularly and in the general Far East generally. This document bears upon this theory of the case and should be admitted.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you finished? I will take the decision of the Court on the document.

By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Although there is some question as to whether or not the next document comes under the rule, it is in my order of proof and I ask that, for continuity, it be offered in evidence. It is an excerpt from the official publication of the Asia Bureau of the Japanese Foreign Ministry and is a report on communist movement in China and Manchuria as of December 1932 and differs from the previous

19.

document offered. This one stresses the fact that the communists were active and merely biding their time when they would expand their activities into the central government of China. This created a definite threat to Japanese security. This document contains facts which relate to the issue involved. It is difficult now to determine its total probative value isolated from the theme which we propose to establish by our evidence. We offer defense document 959-B.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, this document is subject to both of the objections of the previous one. It comes from the same book and it deals with the same subject matter. The only difference is that it deals mainly with an internal dispute within the Chinese communist party itself.

May I point out that the affidavit submitted this morning and two exhibits thereto which
the Court have not seen, and one of which has never
been served, together with the three documents, the
two documents last dealt with and this one, all of
which I understand were processed after the Court's
previous ruling, appear to have involved the consumption
of approximately 14,000 sheets of paper.

12.

4 5

MR. CUM INGHAM: If your Honors please, my calculation was slightly under that but these documents were processed because we felt firmly that the Tribunal would reconsider that proposition. The documents were in process for the China phase and I firmly believe that the documents are still admissible and that it is not a waste and that they will be used subsequently in this trial if properly identified and will be proven relevant and material as well, and I think the criticism is not well founded.

THE PRESIDENT: In processing documents we expect you to keep the Court's rulings in mind. If you do not do so, some steps will have to be taken.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I must say for myself, your Honor, that the amount of paper we use in the processing of documents is a matter of very little concern to me. I do not think it is necessary even to have the documents processed. If I have the original I will find a way to offer it to this Tribunal in evidence. Whether we can duplicate it or whether we can't, that is no matter of consequence to me.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: At this time I call the Court's attention to exhibit No. 2373, 2375 and 2376, which are the excerpts from the prosecution's witness John B. Powell's book, "My Twenty-five Years in China," to show the activities of the communists in China. These documents show that the Russians were using their concessions in China to spread communistic propaganda through China. These documents corroborate our other evidence and witnesses' testimony. I call special attention to the item on the top of page 2, 202-K-1.

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

GENERAL VASILIEV: I ask you to hear my objections as to the document, exhibit 2373.

reading of the parts of this document which haven't been read before and again using these parts in the Russian phase. This excerpt from Mr. Powell's book was introduced by the defense in another phase on March 14. Then Mr. McManus said that the excerpt was introduced for the purpose of proving that Russia was forced to defend her interests in China because there were troubled conditions in China. In accordance with this statement a part of page 3, the first three paragraphs, was read.

I contend that by reading this excerpt the document, No. 2373, was used to full extent. Now the defense proposes to use other parts of this 3 excerpt trying to prove by it other matters which were 4 not held in review by the Court when they accepted the excerpt. These other parts of the excerpt contained 6 opinions of Mr. Powell which can have no probative value at all for it speaks about events which Mr. 8 Powell didn't witness and about which he speaks in 9 words of third persons from entirely unknown sources. 10 Besides, this description of the events is of a 11 very uncertain or, simply, even slanderous nature. 12 The proving of contents of events of such kind by 13 excerpts from the book written by a newspaperman who 14 endeavored to make his book a thriller for the readers 15 is absolutely inadmissible. It would be contrary to 16 17 the attitude toward this book stated by the Tribunal. 18 Therefore, I ask to reject the whole part of this 19 excerpt not read before.

20

7

21

22

23 24

THE PRESIDENT: How much of that exhibit was tendered and how much admitted?

GENERAL VASILIEV: I remember that Mr. McManus in introducing this excerpt gave his grounds for introducing it, and I spoke about his grounds to the Tribunal now. He explained that he was interested in the part which was read and that part was actually read.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I would like to know just what he said as reported in the transcript. Can somebody come to our assistance immediately with the transcript and the page? Exhibit 2373 was admitted not so many days ago, but I would take it that we admitted only the part that was intended to be relied upon because there must have been much immaterial and irrelevant matter in that excerpt.

What I want is the transcript showing what Mr. McManus said to us and what we said to him when that document was admitted.

GENERAL VASILIEV: The people are sent to bring the transcript.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the only way to does with a matter of this kind -- to refer to the page of the transcript. That alone contains exactly what was said by the Court and by counsel. I would

1 2

like to hear Mr. Cunningham on what he is offering of this excerpt. I would like to know what Mr. 2 Cunningham is offering. 3 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, I couldn't 4 see what he was objecting to because I just called attention of the Court to the exhibit, to read it, 6 to determine whatever it has in the exhibit of value to this proposition that we are proving. I am not intending to read any of the document. 9 THE PRESIDENT: If Mr. Cunningham is 10 referring merely to the exhibit, we need not have 11 124 any discussion. 13 GENERAL VASILIEV: If the defense wants to read that excerpt which has been read before 14 15 I will withdraw my objection. 16 THE PRESIDENT: They have not indicated 17 what they want us to consider yet. 18 Mr. Cunningham. 19. MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have already stated what 20 I think the document does for us, and I just want 21 the Court to consider the document in the light of 22 my introductory remarks. That is all. 23

THE PRESIDENT: So far as it is a document before us we will consider it. There is no occasion for the objection. The objection is overruled.

24

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer in evidence defense document 1536, an excerpt from the prosecution's exhibit 761. In this document General ITAGAKI, Chief Staff Officer of the Kwantung Army, explained to ARITA on 28 March 1936 the communistic menace, emanating from Soviet Union, which faced the Kwantung Army. This same condition.

We next offer defense document 1422 which is one in a series of telegrams --

THE PRESIDENT: I haven't given any pronouncement on this. I never do until I see the document. You have to hand it to me before I make any pronouncement.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I beg your pardon.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1536 will receive exhibit No. 2613.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2613 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, is it necessary to give these exhibits numbers when they are already introduced?

THE PRESIDENT: We understand that this document which has been marked 2613 and is defense document

-

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer in evidence defense document 1536, an excerpt from the prosecution's exhibit 761. In this document General ITAGAKI, Chief Staff Officer of the Kwantung Army, explained to ARITA on 28 March 1936 the communistic menace, emanating from Soviet Union, which faced the Kwantung Army. This same condition.

We next offer defense document 1422 which is one in a series of telegrams --

THE PRESIDENT: I haven't given any pronouncement on this. I never do until I see the document. You have to hand it to me before I make any pronouncement.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I beg your pardon.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLFRK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1536 will receive exhibit No. 2613.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2613 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, is it necessary to give these exhibits numbers when they are already introduced?

THE PRESIDENT: We understand that this document which has been marked 2613 and is defense document

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

No. 1536 is tendered for the first time.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I may be in error about this, Your Honor. This is an excerpt from prosecution exhibit 761-A.

THE PRESIDENT: Some of these prosecution exhibits have been admitted as to part only, if I understand correctly. If this exhibit has been wholly admitted, there is no occasion for this particular part to be marked again as an exhibit.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: 761-A has been marked an exhibit, as I understand it, and this part was not read.

THE PRESIDENT: If this part was admitted before, there is no need for another exhibit number. That is all I can say.

Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, perhaps I can 18 help my friend. 761 was marked for identification at page 7,830, but the part that was read and introduced as 761-A appears to be a different part from what my 21 friend is now tendering. Therefore, it should have a new exhibit number, either 761-B to identify it with the parent document, or a new one.

THE PRESIDENT: Read it as exhibit 2613, Mr. Cunningham; that is, if you propose to read it.

3

1

5

4

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

7 8

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Omitting the formal parts, coming to Part I, "Soviet Problems":

"The Kwantung Army is most deenly concerned in problems against Russia: and acknowledges the indisputable fact that her national nower of defense is increasing largely with years. In the military field she has recently made efforts to replenish the army whereby the number of troops, in whole, has amounted to more than, 1,400,000, and her military system, organization, equipment, disposition of forces are being re-arranged to attain the most ideal form of army.

"Thus, she has come to be called, both in name and reality the most powerful army nation of the world. The development of her heavy industry has attained, in general, her expected results and especially in munitions production she is now capable of producing them independently. Thile on the other hand, her light industry has also developed, owing to her strenuous efforts, whereby recently a light of hope can be discerned in her people's private life. Moreover, in agriculture, which is the substantial basis of her national economy, she has nearly completed socialization in face of great difficulties. Thus the people, in general, who had put up with long-age distresses and destitution have now been barely releaded from their

3

6

7

8

11

10

12

14

16 17

18

20

22

24

25

predicament to step a pace forward towards neaceful life. During all the while the government has consolidated its basis and has succeeded in establishing an unprecedentedly firm dictatorship.

"Observing the situation in the Far East, in which we have special concerns directly, with reference to foregoing circumstances, we cannot but convince ourselves that the Soviet is not only premaring for operations by force but is making the utmost efforts to stengthen her power, in a wider sense, of prosecuting war alone by herself. "e may say so, because she is overtly advocating the independence of Far East military preparations and has assigned more than 200,000 troops and nearly thousand planes and tanks respectively to the Far Eastern district, ever so thinly populated and poor in natural resources. Moreover, she is endeavoring to replenish communications and supply functions and is, at the cost of great expenses and sacrifice, devoting herself to attain an epoch-making point in exploiting the economy and transporation of said district.

"Under such circumstances, Soviet's attitude toward Japan has gradually stiffened to be more active, in comparison with her inactive and negative attitude two or three years ago, although she has not yet assumed

to be provocative, taking advantage of our weakness in 2 view of her development of national and armed nower as well as of our clarification concerning situations at home and abroad.

"For example, since last year, the foreign strategy of 'Third Internationale' has been so revised actively as to assume Japan their main object. They them resolved to cooperate in fighting with all antiwar members, putting aside their former opinion, and, in their activities toward China, as well, they did not always deny the existing social system, withdrawing their banner of communism from the surface and advocating wholeheartedly 'Anti-Fascism' or 'Anti-Imperialism'. Slogan of 'Bolshevization Patriotic Movement' by communist forces in North China has accordingly been replaced by that of 'Anti-Japanese Patriotic Movement.' (This coincides with the slogan held by the Kuomintang.)

"As another example, though the number of bandits all over eastern Manchuria has been reduced, the communist bandits, still making stubborn resistence, are increasing their power by annexing other bandits. Furthermore, they are taking firm attitude with regard to problems of bounday and fishery as well as other problems concerning Manchuria. (Problems concerning

4 5

6

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

Outern Mongolia, consulate and etc.).

"In brief, the fact that the national strength of U.S.S.R. has been increasing year by year and that her attitude toward Japan has consequently come to be stiffened or defiant in recent years cannot be overlooked.

"Though, in fact, She is not expected to take arms against Japan positively, it is undeniable that she would take actions more positively in near future."

We next offer defense document 1422 which is one in a series of telegrams between Foreign Minister ARITA and Japanese Ambassador to Berline, MUSHOKAJE. It has been suggested that anti-comintern pact negotiations were conducted beyond diplomatic channels. This and other similar documents will thoroughly refute this charge and establish the opposite.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1422

will receive exhibit No. ---

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Skipping the formal parts -MR. COMYNS CARR: I was going to take an objection, your Honor. I did not hear that my friend had
finished.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I waited some seconds, Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May I be heard, your Honor?

THE PRESIDENT: "e must hear what you say if
you were under misapprehension, as you undoubtedly
were. I never question your word.

MR. COMYNS CARR: This document is quite short, but in my submission it is of no value in throwing any light whatsoever concerning any issue in the case. The only foundation that I know of for my friend's statement that it has been suggested that this pact was negotiated outside diplomatic channels is that we called attention to the fact that the accused SHIMADA was decorated in connection with it. In my submission the document does not serve any purpose.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I suggest, your Honor, that that is the sort of commitment we like to hear from the prosecution, that that is all the effect they consider the relations between Javan and Germany and the negotiations of this Anti-Comintern Pact to bear upon.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled and the document admitted.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1422 will receive exhibit No. 2614.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2614 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM (reading): "Various conditions indicate the necessity for closer relations between Japan and Germany. It is considered proper, if Germany desires it, to make a vague engagement without limiting the matter for the present, as I charged you before you left Japan.

"As for the details of the engagement, they are now under consideration. But before everything, it is necessary for Japan to discern the limit and substance of the German claim.

"In this connection, you are requested to shun courting attitudes, and promptly report the result of your investigation, closely keeping in touch with the leading figures of the German Foreign Office and the D.N.A.D.P."

We now offer in evidence defense document 1423 to show the true picture of the communist threat as recorded in the Japanese Foreign Office at a time when the accused HIROTA was Foreign Minister. The document corroborates what was later done and indicates that it was a foreign office project and not the doings of only the individual concerned. I submit it should be received for the information it contains, the weight to be reserved until it is read in the light of our evidence to follow. It will definitely

.

O

-

be tied in, which was the ultimate test in the prosecution's case. We hope it will not be necessary to brief a witness or prepare affidavits to prove the official attitude of the Foreign Office toward the pact and secret agreement.

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

GENERAL VASILIEV: If the Tribunal please,
I object to the introduction of this document. In
my submission, it has no probative value at all. We
do not know by whom it was written or whom it was
addressed to. We do not know either the purpose of
this document or its source. It is an article or a
report containing general argument and opinions. The
text of the document contains the contents of the
drafts of the Anti-Comintern Pact, several versions of
this pact, but the drafts are not tendered in evidence.
As far as I can judge, it is rather an improper way of
proving the existence of certain documents, and it
should be rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I suggest, your Honor, that that merely goes to the weight and not the admissibility of the evidence, whether it emanates from an individual or a group of individuals. As long as we suggest what we expect to prove by it and it comes from the Japanese Foreign Office, that is all we should be bound to do.

THE PRESIDENT: By majority, the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: We now offer to read new parts of prosecution's document 1105-A, B, and C, exhibit 484, for the purpose of showing:

- That no conspiracy could possibly have existed on account of the manner in which the matter of the Anti-Comintern Pact was considered before it was executed.
- 2. That only the government officials in office at the time were concerned with the agreement and then only in their official capacities, not as individuals. The point is to be noted as significant.
- 3. That the Japanese policy of appeasing the Soviet Union is emphasized, the opposite of an aggressive attitude.

I propose to read from exhibit 484, beginning at the bottom of page No. 2:

"The present Japanese-German pact comprises two pacts: Pact against the Communist Internationale and the Secret Attached Pact against the Soviet Union, each of which pacts contains a protocol. The gist of the two pacts is as follows:

"I. Pact against the Communist Internationale.

"The said pact has as its object cooperation

for defence against the destructive communistic activities of the Communist Internationale (so-called Comintern). The signatory powers agree to exchange information on the activities of the Comintern, consult with each other in adopting necessary defensive measures and achieve these defensive measures through close operation. (Article I)

"The signatories further agree to take derensive measures, in accordance with the purport of the said pact, against third countries whose internal peace is threatened by the comintern's destructive activities, or invite such countries to join the said pact (Article II). The official text of this pact shall be written in both Japanese and German, the pact shall become effective as from the date of signing by the plenipotentiaries of the two countries, and remain valid for five years, and the signatories shall reach an understanding at a suitable time before the expiration of the period as to the means of subsequent cooperation between the two countries. (Article III)

"The protocol attached to this pact provides that the government officials concerned of the two countries shall closely cooperate with each other in exchanging intelligence about the Comintern's activities

2 3

-

*

for defence against the destructive communistic activities of the Communist Internationale (so-called Comintern). The signatory powers agree to exchange information on the activities of the Comintern, consult with each other in adopting necessary defensive measures and achieve these defensive measures through close operation. (Article I)

"The signatories further agree to take defensive measures, in accordance with the purport of the said pact, against third countries whose internal peace is threatened by the comintern's destructive activities, or invite such countries to join the said pact (Article II). The official text of this pact shall be written in both Japanese and German, the pact shall become effective as from the date of signing by the plenipotentiaries of the two countries, and remain valid for five years, and the signatories shall reach an understanding at a suitable time before the expiration of the period as to the means of subsequent cooperation between the two countries. (Article III)

"The protocol attached to this pact provides
that the government officials concerned of the two
countries shall closely cooperate with each other in
exchanging intelligence about the Comintern's activities

4 5

and in effecting educational and defensive measures against the Comintern -- "

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, the whole of this has been read already; I don't mean the whole of the document, but the part my friend is reading now, at page 5957 and onwards.

THE PRESIDENT: That means giving the evidence twice. Well, on principle, we can never permit that, Mr. Cunningham. Of course, in your summation, you may refer to this again, but not as evidence.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I just got mixed up on the colors. What was read was blue. I will start at the top of page 1, the part that was not read, which is the explanation before the discussion.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps you have not made sufficiently sure of your colors yet, and it may save time if we give you that opportunity, Mr. Cunningham.

It is nearly twelve o'clock. We will adjourn until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

The attached pages are corrected pages and should be substituted for the corresponding pages in the record.

22,480

W 8 K a p 1 e

AFTERNOON SESSION

D - - 8

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330, the HONORABLE JUSTICE ROLING, Member from the Netherlands, not sitting.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now I will start to read, on the bottom of page 6, the report of the Investigating Committee of the Frivy Council on the Anti-Comintern Pact.

"EXPLANATION OF THE PRIME MINISTER (HIROTA) AS TO THE CONCLUSION OF JAPANESE-GERMAN PACT AT THE INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE OF PRIVY COUNCIL.

"As you know, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, as a result of strengthening of her national defense and the promotion of her international position in recent years, she has built up huge armaments in the Far East to put heavy armed pressure upon East Asia. On the other hand, it is quite evident that she is acting to put East Asia into disturbance, mobilizing the Communist Internationale. Since these matters are direct menace to our national defense and also they display a very difficult obstacle to the execution of Japan's East Asia policy,

83

8 9

2

4

11

10

12 13

14

16

17

15

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

NOTE:

The attached pages are corrected pages and should be substituted for the corresponding pages in the record.

Wolf & Kapleau

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330, the HONORABLE JUSTICE ROLING, Member from the Nether-lands, not sitting.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now I will start to read, on the bottom of page 6, the report of the Investigating Committee of the Frivy Council on the Anti-Comintern Pact.

"EXPLANATION OF THE PRIME MINISTER (HIROTA) AS TO THE CONCLUSION OF JAPANESE-GERMAN PACT AT THE INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE OF PRIVY COUNCIL.

"As you know, the Union of Soviet Socialist
Republics, as a result of strengthening of her national
defense and the promotion of her international
position in recent years, she has built up huge armaments
in the Far East to put heavy armed pressure upon East
Asia. On the other hand, it is quite evident that
she is acting to put East Asia into disturbance,
mobilizing the Communist Internationale. Since these
matters are direct menace to our national defense and
also they display a very difficult obstacle to
the execution of Japan's East Asia policy,

olf & Kapleau

AFTERNOON SESSION

2

4

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at

1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

8

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

10

11

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now I will start to read, on the bottom of page 6, the report of the Investigating Committee of the Privy Council on the Anti-Comintern Pact.

12

13

"EXPLANATION OF THE PRIME MINISTER

(HIROTA) AS TO THE CONCLUSION OF JAPANESE-GERMAN

PACT AT THE INVESTIGATION CONSITTED OF PRIVE COUNCIL

14

PACT AT THE INVESTIGATION CONVITTEE OF PRIVY COUNCIL.

"As you know, the Union of Soviet Socialist

16 17

Republics, as a result of strengthening of her national defense and the promotion of her inter-

18

national position in recent years, she has built up

20

huge armaments in the Far East to put heavy armed pressure upon East Asia. On the other hand, it is

21

quite evident that she is acting to put East Asia

23

into disturbance, mobilizing the Communist Internationale. Since these matters are direct menace to our national

25

24

defense and also they display a very difficult obstacle to the execution of Japan's East Asia policy, Tolf & Kapleau

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now I will start to read, on the bottom of page 6, the report of the Investigating Committee of the Privy Council on the Anti-Comintern Pact.

"EXPLANATION OF THE PRIME MINISTER

(HIROTA) AS TO THE CONCLUSION OF JAPANESE-GERMAN

PACT AT THE INVESTIGATION CONVITTEE OF PRIVY COUNCIL.

"As you know, the Union of Soviet Socialist
Republics, as a result of strengthening of her
national defense and the promotion of her international position in recent years, she has built up
huge armaments in the Far East to put heavy armed
pressure upon East Asia. On the other hand, it is
quite evident that she is acting to put East Asia
into disturbance, mobilizing the Communist Internationale.
Since these matters are direct menace to our national
defense and also they display a very difficult obstecle to the execution of Japan's East Asia policy,

1330.

and since the former government has insisted on China's cooperation with Japan in eliminating the threat of external Red influence from Outer-Pongolia and others, as one of the three principles of Sino-Japanese negotiations the present government has made it the pivotal point of the foreign policy to frustrate the Soviet Union's schemes of aggrandizement, especially to dissolve the threat to the national

defense of Japan by her huge armaments and to check

the advance of Comintern Bolshevization in Wast Asia.

"Also in Europe, Germany has in recent years been placed in a position so similar to Japan's that she must check the advance of Bolshevization and also she is threatened by huge armaments of Soviet Union. Consequently we have found it a good chance to conclude a pact between Japan and Germany who have common interests with respect to those points, with a view of common defense against the destructive activity of the Communist Internationale and check of the armed advance of the Soviet Union.

"According to the present pact, as the text elucidates itself, it is clear that the third country can be induced in order to take common defensive measures against the Bolshevistic activities, there-

1 2

fore, Japan's cooperation with Germany for this particular object through this pact in no way whatever implies that Japan fully approves of that country's principles in respect to her internal affairs or that Japan will act in concert with her.

"Since the object of the present pact is simply to make it an instrument for preparing for checking the armed pressure of the Soviet Union and Bolshevistic activities, we on our side should of course refrain from taking any positive measures which might aggravate relations with the Soviet Union. As to the demarcation of the boundary line of Japan, nchukuo and the Soviet Union, the settlement of boundary disputes, and other matters of negotiation between Japan and the Soviet Union, the Government will constantly give its most devoted attention in order to adjust as may be best for the two countries' diplomatic relations.

"Moreover, as Japan will cooperate with Germany by the conclusion of this pact, as the government will always do its utmost to maintain and promote amicable relations between Japan and Britain and the United States, especially cordial relations between Japan and Britain.

"I hope you would fully discuss and inves-

1 2

tigate the draft of this pact.

"EXPLANATION OF THE FOREIGN MINISTER

(ARITA) AS TO THE CONCLUSION OF JAPANESE-GERMAN

PACT AT THE INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE OF PRIVY

COUNCIL (NOVEMBER 13, 1936).

"1. It is a remarkable fact that in recent years the Soviet Union has not only pushed on with her internal construction in accordance with the socalled Five-Year Plan, but has also zealously completed her national defense, especially in the Far Fast, she laid extraordinary stress on strengthening In Europe, the Soviet Union has conarmaments. cluded non-aggressive treaties or special treaties such as those defining aggressive nations with various neighboring countries successively and joined the League of Nations too. Especially last year by conclusion of mutual assistance treaties between the Soviet Union and France and also between the Soviet Union and Czecho-Slovakia, the Soviet Union has promoted her international position in Europe, and consequently with the aggrandizement of armaments in Far East, the pressure exerted by the Soviet Union upon East Asia district is increasing.

"II. Next, it may be needless to say about

25

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the inseparable relations between the Soviet Union and Comintern, and the details of Bolshevist activities of Comintern may be found in the separate protocol near at hand. To explain a few of the most remarkable examples, at the beginning of the Russian revolution, the Comintern strived to bolshevize various countries of Europe; but finding that the political and economical foundations of various countries of Europe so solid, it has transferred the chief objectives of this activity from Europe to East Asia and since then its invasion has been particularly extended in the areas of Outer Mongolia, Sinking and the central part of China. In 1931, Central Government of Chinese Soviet Republics was established in Juichin, Kiangsi Province, and the total number of the Communist Army reached some 350,000. Although the Kiangsi Soviet was abandoned when Juichin fell as the result of Chiang Kai-shek's punitive invasion in November 1934, the Communist Army still infects the Chensi, Kanso and Szechwan districts. Not only that, the Comintern, after the 7th World Conference of 1935, has been conducting increasingly vigorous anti-Chinese activities in China, its tactics this time being those of organizing an anti-Japanese popular front through the Chinese

22 23

24

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

Communist Party. In Manchuria, too, despite the strict vigilance on the part of the Japanese and Manchurian government authorities, the Comintern, acting through its subordinate, the Manchuria Commission of the Chinese Communist Party, is doing all it can to Communist cells everywhere and encourage roving gangs of military, and also paying great attention to guerilla movements wherever they may be. The fact that those Red "Partisan' units are now to be found far and wide, shows that the influence of the Comintern's secret activities in Manchuria is not something to be taken lightly.

"As for Furope, the Comintern activity has recently become very pronounced in the popular movement in Spain. Judging from the true facts of the present civil war there, it can be proved beyond doubt that the Soviet Union and the Comintern, by their permeation into the internal machinery of other countries, and their skillfully executed Bolshevist activities there, have caused a profound disturbance to internal tranquility as well as international peace.

"III. As I have told you just now, the armed intervention by the Soviet Union and the promotion of the Comintern's Bolshevist activities

. 20

1

2

3

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23 24

25

in the Far East constitute a direct threat to the safety of Japan and Manchuria and also a very difficult obstacle to the execution of Japan's East Asia policy, so we must recognize that a counter measure for them is the most urgent and important question among all the various external problems now confronting Japan.

"Since such is the present situation, the present Government has made it the pivotal point of its foreign policy to frustrate the Soviet Union's schemes of aggrandizement and to check the advance of Comintern bolshevization in East .. sia.

"IV. In Germany, however, since the establishment of the Nazi Regimo headed by Hitler in 1933, a strict anti-Communist policy has been adopted, with the result that German-Soviet relations have suddenly deteriorated. In addition, considerable ill-feeling and uneasiness have been created as the result of the conclusion of these mutual assistance treaties between the Soviet Union and France and also between the Soviet Union and Czecho-Slovakia. It is also a fact that at the Comintern's 7th "orld Congress a resolution was adopted making it the duty of the Communist parties of the whole world to consider both Japan and Germany as their enemies and

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

to organize a common front against the two countries. Her relations with the Soviet Union and the Comintern, therefore, have placed Germany in a position so similar to Japan's that she finds it convenient to cooperate with Japan in national defense and anti-Communist colicies.

"V. The government accordingly planned cooperation with Germany as the first step in executing the foreign policy I have just explained, and in spring of this year when Ambassador MUSHAKOJI returned to his post in Berlin, the government trusted him to find the intention of Germany. Thus we have confirmed that Germany also eagerly desired the cooperation with us and as the result of protracted negotiations carried on between the Japanese Ambassador to Germany and RIBBENTROP of the German Government, two countries arrived at the present draft of agreement. And as RIBBENTROP was expected to go to his post of German Ambassador to Great Britain, let ambassador MUSHAKOJI and ambassador RIBBENTROP initial on 23rd ultimo in order to determine the draft before Ambassador RIBBENTROP goes to his post."

I will now go to the final paragraph on page 11, sub-division 6:

which might aggravate relations with the Soviet Union, and as to the various questions concerning the demarcation of the boundary line of Japan, Manchuria and Soviet Union, the Government will continue the negotiation with the Soviet Union in order to solve the said questions; consequently in the case of negotiation and conclusion of the present Japanese-German Pact, although it has been contrived most effectively to restrain the Soviet Union by the present Pact, the Government has done its utmost not to irritate excessively the Soviet Union side. In other words, in the Articles of the Pact for the Comintern, no words have been used likely to class the Comintern with the Soviet Union, and besides, we have kept the Appendix to the Pact as well as the attached official notes secret. These have been done with the precautions just mentioned.

"VI. In conclusion I should like to add

a few words. The Japanese Government should of

course refrain from taking any positive measure

"I hope you would fully discuss and investigate this matter."

I now offer to read the omitted parts of the very important minutes of the meeting of the Privy Council, which advised the Emperor before the

22

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

```
anti-comintern pact was signed. If there was any
   unauthorized conduct or negotiations involved in the
2
   anti-comintern agreement, surely the consideration
3
   of the Privy Council and the ultimate approval by
   the Emperor wiped it out. For the purpose of showing
   that the pact was fully considered before it became
   official, I read from the Privy Council Minutes of
   the meeting of 25 November 1936. In this regard I
8
   refer the Tribunal to the provision in the Privy
   Council regulations which provides, in Article 6,
10
   that "Treaties shall be approved by the Privy Coun-
11
   cil."
12
            "Matters pertaining to the conclusion of
13
   the Agreement between Japan and Germany.
14
            "Council opened at 10:15 a. m.," and so forth.
15
16
            "Attended by President HIRANUMA.
17
            "Ministers: Prime Minister, HIROTA; Navy
18
  Minister, NAGANO.
19
            "Commissioners: TOGO. Chief of European-
20
   Asiatic Affairs, Bureau of the Foreign Ministry."
21
            THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Mr. Cunningham,
22
   please.
23
```

```
anti-comintern pact was signed. If there was any
   unauthorized conduct or negotiations involved in the
   anti-comintern .greement, surely the consideration
   of the Privy Council and the ultimate approval by
4
   the Emperor wiped it out. For the purpose of showing
   that the pact was fully considered before it became
6
   official, I read from the Privy Council Minutes of
7
   the meeting of 25 November 1936. In this regard I
8
   refer the Tribunal to the provision in the Privy
   Council regulations which provides, in Article 6,
10
   that "Treaties shall be approved by the Privy Coun-
11
   cil."
12
            "Matters pertaining to the conclusion of
13
   the Agreement between Japan and Germany.
14
            "Council opened at 10:15 a. m.," and so forth.
15
            "Attended by President HIRANUMA.
16
17
            "Ministers: Prime Minister, HIROTA; Navy
   Minister, NAGANO.
18
19
            "Commissioners: TOGO. Chief of European-
20
   Asiatic Affairs, Bureau of the Foreign Ministry."
21
            THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Mr. Cunningham,
22
   please.
23
24
```

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I read page one to two and the first paragraph on page three for the translators.

"Reporter (ARAI)

"We have been ordered members of the investigation committee regarding the Imperial consultation on the conclusion of the treaty between Japan and Germany. Having held meetings on the 13th and the 18th of this month, we have strived to make a full investigation into the matter by having listened to detailed explanations from the Minister of Home Affairs and other officials concerned.

"According to explanations by the the Minister concerned, the U.S.S.R., lately, is devoting herself to her internal construction as well as to the completion of her national defense through the first and second five year plans. Expecially, she has put unusual effort in the establishment of enormous military installations in the Far East. On the other hand, she has concluded special treaties such as the non-aggression pact on treaties defining aggressive nations with neighboring countries in Europe and then entered the League of Nations. Last year, she concluded a mutual-aid treaty with France and Czech-oslovakia, thereby gradually strengthening her

1 international position in Europe. Following this, 2 she is greatly intensifying her oppression in East 3 Asia. The 'Communist International' (the so-called Commintern) which is inseparably related to the Union of Social Soviet Russia is putting every effort to push forward their operations to communize the whole world. Recently, the object of Communist operations has been turned from Europe to East Asia and their aggressive hands have extended especially to Outer Mongolia, Hsinchiang and China proper. In 1931, a central government of the Chinese Foviet Republic was established in Juichin, Chianghei Province, and the total number of the Communist Army numbered about 350,000. However, in November 1934, Jichin was captured in an armed subjugation by Chiang Kai-shek and the soviet district in Chianghsi was abandoned. Remnants of the Communist Army, however, are still rampant in the district of Shensi, Kansu, and Szechwan. Following the seventh world conference, 'Commintern' is executing with much vigour her activities against China through a new tactic by constructing an anti-Japanese civilian front among the Chinese Communist Party. Also in Manchuria, the Commintern is supervising. the Manchurian Committee of the Chinese Communist Party in spite of strict vigilance by both the Japanese

5

7

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and Manchurian police forces. They are striving to establish Communist organizations in various districts and to encourage various troops and communist bandits, and they are also putting efforts in guerilla activities in various districts. Red partisan troops are, actually, appearing everywhere, and the influence of the underground activities of 'Commintern' in the Far East cannot be lightly overlooked. The 'Commintern' activities are very notable recently in the so-called civilian front movements in Spain. Considering from the truth in the late disturbances in Spain, it is very plainly evident that 'Commintern' is permeating into the domestic organs of the other countries and is skillfully carrying out communist activities to greatly disturb the domestic security and international peace.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

oppression and communist operations of Commintern in the Far East, as I have related, is not only a direct menace to the security of both Japan and Manchuria but is also a great obstacle to the execution of Japan's East Asia policy. Therefore, we must acknowledge that the measure to cope with this is the most important and urgent diplomatic problem among those which Japan is now encountering.

Accordingly, the main point of Japan's foreign policy will be the frustration of the Soviet's aggressive plans and the prevention of the Red advancement of Commintern. China's cooperation with Japan to exclude the menace of foreign Communist elements, has been mentioned as an article in the Three Fundamental Principles in our negotiations with China. On the other hand we have established measures to strive to realize the above policy by proper diplomacy, in accordance with the completion of national defense. In Germany, following the establishment of the Nazi Regime in 1933 with Hitler as its leader, a strong anti-communist policy has been carried on; which resulted in the sudden deterioration in the German-Soviet relations. Furthermore, the mutual aid treaty concluded by the Soviet Union with France and Czechoslovakia, as I have previously related, gave use to much ill feolings and anxiety. At the 7th Commintern World Conference, Japan and Germany, were specially mentioned as enemies, and there has actually been a resolution to the effect that the construction of a united front against these two powers is the duty of the Communist Parties of the whole world. Therefore, Germany stands in the same position as the Japanese Empire with relation to the Soviet

.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

and Commintern and she is in a convenient position to cooperate with Japan in her national defense and anti-Communist policies. The Japanese Government has planned for a coalition with Germany as a first step in executing the above-mentioned foreign policy.

As a result of negotiations by the Japanese ambassador in Germany with the representatives of that country since spring, it was decided to conclude a special treaty between the two powers for this coalition, and this has lead to the conclusion of the present treaty."

I now offer in evidence defense document

1316, which is an excerpt taken from an interrogation
of J. Von Ribbentrop on the 20th of September, 1945
at Nuernberg. The interrogator was Colonel Brundage,
United States Army. In this interrogation Von
Ribbentrop explains that the anti-Commintern Pact
was an ideological pact, directed against communism
and that Germany tried to get Great Britain to join
and that Germany considered the improvement of relations
with Great Britain and France was far more important
than the establishment of a friendly relation with Japan.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, no such document has been served upon us. The number on the list is 1315.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I will pass that and pick it up at the end of the list. By that time the prosecution will have it and the clerk will have it.

I wish the parties concerned would add 1316 just before 1317 at the end of the list and it will save making a new order of proof. Also add 1420 to the HATANO affidavit to the end of the list, and insert after 1314 and before 1398, 1400 T-2.

M 1

r 2 s c 3

& 4

S 5

16

e 7

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

24

23

25

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now pass to defense document 1315 and ask that the Tribunal take judicial notice of the public recognition given the Anti-Comintern Pact as reported in the official document of the United States State Department volume entitled "Events Leading up to World "ar Two", and ask that that report at 109 and 110 be read into the record as evidence of the natice which the world took of the event and of meaning of the pact. I offer to read the statement. If judicial notice is not taken, I offer defense document 1315 into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

GENERAL VASILIEV: If the Tribunal please,
I object to the introduction of this document on two
grounds. First, this excerpt corroborates the fact
of the conclusion of the Anti-Comintern Pact and
nobody questions this fact, and the pact itself is at
the disposal of the Tribunal. Therefore, there is no
need of such additional corroboration.

Two, when the prosecution introduced excerpts from this book entitled "Events Leading up to World War Two", not a single one of these excerpts was received in evidence. Then there was a question of taking judicial notice of the facts of common knowledge

it was always decided in chambers, and although the excerpts from the book were taken into consideration there always was an element of criticism in their approach to them. Such was the case when, on October 16th, I personally made reference to this book, transcript pages 7892 - 7897. On these two grounds I submit the document should be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

ITR. CUININGHAM: I merely wish to state, your Honor, that history records this event in many different ways and all about the same purport and I suggest we shouldn't have to prove the manner in which the world accepted the Anti-Comintern Pact if the Court can take judicial notice of its popular acceptance.

THE PRESIDENT: We notice that part of the defense document 1315 is in quotation marks. Whose observations are they; are they the preamble to the pact? Apparently not.

MR. CUNNINCHAM: It is from the United States
State Department Volume, "Fvents Leading Up to World
War Two", and I copied it out of it, the way it is
reported there.

THE PRESIDENT: All the sound and all the crazy statements in the world could appear in the .

publication of any nation. Who is responsible for this?

MR. CUMINGHAM: I found the volume pretty reliable and I dont know the author of the statement.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: The next document is a little hearsay evidence recorded in Grew's book which showed that the Anti-Comintern Pact, that the secret agreement which accommanied the Anti-Comintern Pact, was in fact common knowledge. I offer in evidence defense document 206-C(4).

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

GENERAL VASILIEV: I object to this document on two grounds, if the Tribunal please. First, the book by Mr. Grew in general shouldn't be considered as having any probative value. As far as I can remember the Tribunal rarely made an exception concerning this and only in cases when this or that excerpt was in the nature of a diary extract which described the evidence fully and truly.

In the present case the fact is described very insufficiently. It is not known what official of what embassy said the things which are written there. Besides, these words are mere suggestions

7 8

on the part of this unknown official. Therefore, this excerpt has no probative value.

Second, this excerpt will be of no assistance because the Tribunal already have the agreement attached to the Anti-Corintern Pact. No other confirmation of this excerpt of this fact is needed.

MR. CUININGHAM: I think these two lines have been sufficiently recommended, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAN: Defense document 1426 is one of a series of telegrams of Ambassador SUGIMURA addressed to Foreign Minister ARITA just prior to the signing of the Anti-Comintern Pact. This document reports the conversations and policy of the Italian Foreign Minister Ciano, whose diary has been discussed at length in this trial. This document shows some of the considerations which prompted Italy to sign the pact. It is both relevant and enlightening on the question of interpretation to be placed on the pact and the secret agreement. We offer defense document 1426.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
CLERF OF THE COURT: Defense document 1426

will receive Exhibit #2615.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2615 and received in evidence.)

ITR. CUNNINGHAM: I now read defense exhibit 2615. (Reading:)

"On the occasion of the interview with Ciano reported in my previous telegram No. 187.

"(1) the Italian Foreign Minister confessed that Italy formerly used to decide her policy towards the Soviet Union from the viewpoint that the Soviet Union and the Comintern were different things, though she later came to realize that such was a wrong idea. Then he made it clear that he had always kept a decisive attitude towards the Soviet Union ever since he was appointed Foreign Minister, and revealed that Germany agreed with Italy in that point, referring to the fact that he had already reached an agreement with Hitler as he had told me before (viz. telegram No. 177).

"(2) He again alluded to the rumour of the conclusion of a Japanese-German pact against the Soviet Union, and suggested that it was considered a natural process to conclude a similar pact between Japan and Italy.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

"I asserted, in response to his suggestion, that Japan would not grudge due co-operation with any nation in precluding the Red movement of the Soviet Union, and explained that I did not think the pact, if there was a pact actually concluded, was of military nature. Then alluding to the mutual understanding of Germany and Italy which seemed considerably deep. I revealed my view that the understanding between Japan and Germany was not yet in so advanced a state, as that between Germany and Italy deduced from their agreement as to the Spain cuestion for instance. And, in conclusion, I laid our desire before him to limit the scope of deliberation for the present to the problem of opening Japanese and Italian consulates in Ethiopia and in Manchuria respectively, and leave the matters which the Italian Foreign Minister suggested to be dealt with some other day.

"(3) As to the anti-Comintern question, it is believed to be worth consideration to exchange information between the police authorities of the two countries and to maintain necessary connection among the military and naval officers of the respective countries stationed in the countries adjoining the Soviet Union."

3

5

7 8

9

11

13 14

15 16

17

19

20 21

22

24

25

We now offer defense document 1427 which is enother in a series of telegrams from Rome to Tokyo to show that the anti-comintern pact was not a secret society of nations. This also shows that the information concerning it will be handled through regular diplomatic channels.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLIRK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 1427

will receive exhibit No. 2616.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2616 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Reading)

"Telegram of Imbassador SUGIMURA addressed to Foreign Minister ARITA, Dated November 28, 1936, arriving at the Japanese Foreign Office on 29.

"Both Italian Premier and the Foreign Minister expressed their hearty congratulation on the conclusion of the Japanese-German anti-Comintern Pact when I had a conversation with them on November 26. Further they revealed their desire to form a like pact between Japan and Italy referring to the Japanese intention to co-operate with any other nation in their joint defence against the Comintern menace. (It is likely that Italy desires to conclude a pact with Japan independently of

the Japanese-German Inti-Comintern Pect.)

"I answered to their suggestion that I would convey their wishes to the Japanese Government, though I considered it proper to deal with the question after we settled the legation question (vid. previous telegram) completely."

Defense document 1424 is introduced for the purpose of showing that the Dutch Government was considering seriously joining the anti-comintern pact or making some sort of an agreement to accomplish the same object. This is an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office which negatives any illegal intention of the pact and tends to establish its wholesome purpose.

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

GENERAL VASILIEV: If the Tribunal please,
I object to the introduction of this document, first
of all because it has no relevancy to the issues involved in this case. The document speaks about the
refusal of the Netherlands to join the anti-comintern
pact. It is hard to understand in what way it could
render any assistance to this Tribunal. Besides, this
document has no probative value. This Japanese publication gives information for home use only and the source
of the information is not given. If it would have been
really necessary to prove the refusal on the part of
the Netherlands, or any other state, it is obvious the

1 2

best way to do it would have been to submit the refusal itself.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, I note that this is an official document, "The Annual Report of the Official Business for the year 1936," and it is not for public -- was not for publication but was a confidential document of the Foreign Office on a matter which was vital to the Japanese Government at that particular time. And as far as for publication, all this material was kept pretty secret from the rest of the world, at least for a long, long time. The charge of propaganda certainly cannot be applied to this document, and it indicates that there was no conspiracy between nations, that all the nations of the world were invited to join the anti-comintern pact if they wished, with the exception of Soviet Russia, of course.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, I should be most indebted to learn the reason why a document like this could be rejected, because if it is probative value it seems to me that is something that has to be determined at the end of the case. And if it is for technical reasons, it seems as though we should be advised what

7 8

the technical reason is and we should be given an opportunity to overcome it.

THE PRESIDENT: Most frequently, if not invariably, different Members have different reasons for accepting or rejecting any document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Then I suggest, your Honor, that the Members of the Tribunal should enlighten us when an objection is sustained by telling us on what grounds it is sustained in order that we can meet the conditions, or that a commission of the Court be appointed to eliminate from the record of this case all the evidence of the prosecution which fit into this category and to give us an indication.

THE PRESIDENT: As you know, even in national courts decisions on questions of evidence are not accompanied by reasons as a rule, although some judges may see fit to give them on very important matters.

I cannot help you any further, Mr. Cunninghem. I have told you the position.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I am interested very much in finding out if there is a different obligation on the Court in passing upon the admissibility of evidence on the defense's case than there was on the prosecution's case.

THI PRESIDENT: There is no reason whatever

1 2

1 why you should suggest that the prosecution were treated 2 different from the defense in this matter. You know 3 they were not treated differently. This cannot be 4 intended for our ears alone. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, your Honor, I hate to 6 be put into that position of where I am accused of something like that, because to me all a person has to do is read the record and to see that from fifty to one hundred and fifty times the Court has said repeatedly, "We must accept this evidence for whatever probative 11 value it has." Now that was the prosecution's case. 12 THE PRESIDENT: That, as I said this morning, 13 is always the test. If it would help you for me to 14 say occasionally, "We will accept this for whatever 15 probative value it has," well, I feel inclined to meet 16 you. But what help world it give you? This morning and this afternoon we accepted some documents from you. 18 'e accepted them for whatever probative value they have. 19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: In answer to that, your 20 Honor, I remember very distinctly you saying on many 21 occasions that, "It is impossible for this Tribunal 22 to pass upon each individual document and determine its probative value when it is offered." That, "We as a Court, eleven judges, must look at the evidence

all together and at the end of the case consider it

23

```
document by document as to what probative value it has."
 1
 2
    And it is inconceivable ---
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

10.

THE PRESIDENT: To have probative value, a document must necessarily be relevant and it must be material. All evidence admitted here must comply with those two things. But the exact amount of probative value that any particular document has is a matter to be determined when we come to give our verdicts later on.

I cannot say any more to help you, Mr.
Cunningham. I have said the most that any court could say to help you.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I might suggest something that I have suggested before, that when one Member of this Tribunal feels that a document has probative value, that should be the test of whether or not that document is received into evidence, because that raises a reasonable doubt in the mind of anyone upon the question of the value of a document.

THE PRESIDENT: I think you are taking advantage of the fact -- no other defense counsel has taken advantage of the fact -- that we can do nothing to you beyond refusing to hear you in this case.

We have no disciplinary powers over you beyond that.

You are distinctly out of order; as an experienced counsel you must know that. It seems you are deliberately trying to provoke me. But you won't

4 5

succeed. This international Tribunal to a greater extent than any other tribunal must rely on the innate decency of counsel appearing before it.

Please proceed with the case. We have heard enough about this particular document and the Court's reasons for rejecting it.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I would like now to call attention of the Court to the exhibit No. 2371, of Lecember 26, 1936, the statement of the Foreign Office regarding the Anti-Comintern Pact, in which the Japanesse Government explained the purpose and the nature of the said pact.

The document has already been introduced and read into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you say this is already in evidence?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. It is exhibit 2371.

But it was not introduced for this purpose at that time.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we do not want the evidence twice if it is already in evidence. You may refer to it again, of course, but we don't want it twice.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't propose to read it.

I merely call attention to it as it pertains to this specific subject.

Next, I would like to call the Court's

attention to exhibit 2370, the accress of Mr. ARITA, Minister of Foreign Affairs, at the 70th Session of the Diet on the 21st of January, 1937, in which Mr. ARITA explained the purpose and aim of the Anti-Comintern Pact.

I might suggest that these speeches before the Diet, and so on, covered many subjects and we read them all at once in order to prevent reading them parcel by parcel and bit by bit in order to accomplish the continuity of thought.

Lefense document 1415 is offered to show the attitude of the Foreign Minister HAYASHI in the cabinet on the 24th of February, 1937.

. 19

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Continuing) For the purpose of showing that the non-accused and the accused leaders of Japan were thinking and acting on parallel lines in relation to the communist activities. It is to be noted that some of the accused were in public office at the time this governmental policy was announced.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in our submission, this document can be of no assistance to the Tribunal. It merely repeats in the mouth of this particular speaker who is not an accused what had been said in the previous speech by ARITA who also is not an accused. It's mere generalities, and in our submission is a waste of the time of the Tribunal.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It merely suggests the absence of any conspiracy among the governmental leaders of Japan in accomplishing this policy of Japan on the anti-comintern question.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer defense document 1310, which is a report from the German Ambassador in Tokyo, von Dirksen, to the German Foreign Office in Berlin, dated 24 March 1937, concerning a conversation with the Japanese Foreign Minister, SATO.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Continuing) For the purpose of showing that the non-accused and the accused leaders of Japan were thinking and acting on parallel lines in relation to the communist activities. It is to be noted that some of the accused were in public office at the time this governmental policy was announced.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in our submission, this document can be of no assistance to the Tribunal. It merely repeats in the mouth of this particular speaker who is not an accused what had been said in the previous speech by ARITA who also is not an accused. It's mere generalities, and in our submission is a waste of the time of the Tribunal.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It merely suggests the absence of any conspiracy among the governmental leaders of Japan in accomplishing this policy of Japan on the anti-comintern question.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer defense document 1310, which is a report from the German Ambassador in Tokyo, von Dirksen, to the German Foreign Office in Berlin, dated 24 March 1937, concerning a conversation with the Japanese Foreign Minister, SATO.

2

4

)

7

9

10

12

13

14 15

17

19

22

21

23

25

This is a German document. It will be shown by this document that the Anti-Comintern Pact was considered as a purely ideological defensive pact necessitated by the existence and activities of the comintern.

- Japan wanted the improvement of the relations with U.S.S.R. despite the Anti-Comintern Pact.
- Japan made the understanding with Great Britain the foremost aim of her foreign policy.
 - 4. Japan wanted an understanding with China, and
- 5. Germany agreed to these policies (3 and 4) of Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to this document as irrelevant. It shows at considerable length that the new foreign minister in the HAYASHI Cabinet, no member of which is amongst the accused, disapproved of the Anti-Comintern Pact executed by his predecessor, and said so. That is in our view very much to his credit, but irrelevant to this inquiry. The result was that the German ambassedor called on him to protest and they had an argument about it. In our submission this exchange of opinions between those two individuals, neither of whom is before the Court, cannot help the Tribunal.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, your Honors, for some

NOTE:

The attached pages are corrected pages and should be substituted for the corresponding pages in the record.

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

25

time I thought perhaps this cabinet and other members were parties to this phantom group known as "divers other persons." But if that is not the position, if these defendants or accused are not held responsible in any way for anything except what they did themselves, and are not responsible for the continuity of the policy of the Japanese Government, then we are pleased to be informed about that.

MR. COMYNS CARR: My friend mustn't misunderstand me. My remark was confined to this particular speech of the foreign minister -- of the then foreign minister.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court overrules the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1310 will receive exhibit No. 2617.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2617 and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows, the HONORABLE JUSTICE BERNARD, Hember from the Republic of France, not

73

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

20

21 22

24

25

time I thought perhaps this cabinet and other members were parties to this phantom group known as "divers other persons." But if that is not the position, if these defendants or accused are not held responsible in any way for anything except what they did themselves, and are not responsible for the continuity of the policy of the Japanese Government, then we are pleased to be informed about that.

MR. COMYNS CARR: My friend mustn't misunderstand me. My remark was confined to this particular speech of the foreign minister -- of the then foreign minister.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court overrules the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COUNT: Defense document 1310 will receive exhibit No. 2617.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2617 and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

3

5

6

0

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

19

21

23 24

25

time I thought perhaps this cabinet and other members were parties to this phantom group known as "divers other persons." But if that is not the position, if these defendants or accused are not held responsible in any way for anything except what they did themselves, and are not responsible for the continuity of the policy of the Japanese Government, then we are pleased to be informed about that.

MR. COMYNS CARR: My friend mustn't misunderstand me. My remark was confined to this particular speech of the foreign minister -- of the then foreign minister.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court overrules the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COUNT: Defense document 1310 will receive exhibit No. 2617.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2617 and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE PRESIDENT: We hope it will not be necessary to read all this, Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This document only pertains, I guess, to the anti-Comintern Pact and I think it contains the complete thought of an interview on the guestion.

THE PRESIDENT: Read away.
MR. CUNNINGHAM (Reading):

"Conversation with Foreign Minister SATO on the Anti-Comintern Pact. Political Report -- Confidential.

"The summary of Foreign Minister SATO's speech published in English and American newspapers in Japan gives us an impression that he regards the German-Japanese Pact as an unavoidable evil, or at best as an agreement necessitated or technical and police purposes in view of the existence of the Comintern. This unfavorable impression is deepened by a perusal of the shorthand record of his speech. Precisely analyzed, his way of thinking as revealed in his speech might even lead us to the conclusion, as is he hypothesized that no such pact existed and, on this basis, intended to endeavor to arrive at an understanding with the Soviet Union.

"In order to forestall any such tendencies

25

in time, I deemed it proper to call on the Minister and exchange views with him, in a friendly yet unequivocal manner, on these parts of his speech, which related to the Anti-Comintern Pact, and at the same time, to call his attention generally to the extremely unsatisfactory treatment of the agreement by newspapers and by the Diet. I thought it important to make clear at the beginning that I called on him on my own initiative and not on the instructions of my government. asserted that the official and responsible circles in Japan, as well as the people at large had given approval to the Pact and that the public opinion in Japan had been according the Pact an increasingly strong support. I expressed my regret that the attacks on the Pact in the press and the Diet, which were fairly violent in some parts and which were due principally to the internal political implications, had impressed foreign countries as if not only this Pact itself, but also the pro-German policy in general had been disapproved of by the political circles at large of Japan. An overestimation of the circles in question could give rise in Europe to an opinion, as if Japan were going to denounce the Anti-Comintern Pact. This opinion have gained possibly still more ground through the parliamentary debates, in the course of which various

18 19

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20 21

22 23

24

interpellators, in particular the strongly liberal and independent representative OZAKI, had expressed more or less openly their opposition to that Pact. To all these attacks, I told him, the Government had answered only with the sober confirmation of Premier HAYASHI, that he upheld what the Pact and its political aims stood for. Next I pointed out to the Foreign Minister that at the time of his ministerial inauguration, the Japanese public opinion revealed not a little concern, as to the future destiny of the Pact inasmuch as the press, especially the French press, had spread a false conception as if he had disapproved of the existence of that Pact. To support my statement, I handed to SATO an excerpt from the 'Japan Chronicle' concerning an interview, allegedly given by the Foreign Minister to French journalist Lachin for the magazine 'Anous la liberte', in the course. of which the Minister was said to have declared that he had from the beginning been opposed the conclusion of the Pact.

"Then I proceeded to discuss in details of SATO's parliamentary speech. I explained to the Foreign Minister that his speech in no way served to rectify substantially the above mentioned opinion, theretofore prevalent, as to his negative attitude

2

1

4 5

6

7 8

9

11

13

14

16

17

19

21

22

23 24

towards the Pact. He gave a very narrow and limited interpretation to the Pact by ascribing its raison d'etre exclusively to the existence and activities of the Comintern and thereby declaring that from the standpoint of the Russo-Japanese relationship it had to be regarded as 'an unhappy and regrettable event. When he added, by way of a conditional remark, that the Japanese Government, despite the existence of the Comintern, would endeavor to improve the relations between the two peoples, in many respects congenial, and whenever possible to reestablish between Japan and Russia the sincerely cordial relations that had lasted for 12 years, he naturally gave rise to an impression that he maintained towards the Pact a predominantly negative attitude and that he had chosen as his political objective the realization of more or less close relationship with the Soviet Union. From the very fact that he did not speak a single word about the relationship with Germany, it was easy to infer that he did not hold this relationship in any high estimation. went on to say that as for myself, however, I was naturally convinced that all these presumptions were unfounded, for the Foreign Minister himself confirmed in our first interview that he would support the Pact

1

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 wholeheartedly. Nevertheless it was truly desirable that the world opinion also, and especially the German public opinion, be enlightened as to the false suppositions caused by parliamentary speeches and debates, for even though the German newspapers had not published the Japanese attacks on the Pact, the political circles in Gormany had nevertheless been not a little surprised at the development of such a phenomenon. For instance, General Goring had in December last year lodged a protest with General OSHIMA against the attitude of the Japanese press. I expressed my opinion that it would therefore be greatly effective if the Foreign Minister by way of an interview with DAB or VB correspondents in Japan, would speak a few words directly to Germany, in order to disperse the suspicion prevalent in Germany, and at the same time to crash the hopes and speculations of our antagonists.

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"In answer to this, Mr. SATO stated as follows:

"1) With regard to his alleged interview with the French journalist Lachin, published in a Paris magazine, he himself seemed very much surprised. The conversation appearing in the excerpt from the Chronicle had been held long before his departure from Paris. He had not given Lachin any 'interview', but had merely

1 wholeheartedly. Mevertheless it was truly desirable 2 that the world opinion also, and especially the German public opinion, be enlightened as to the false suppositions caused by parliamentary speeches and debates, for even though the German newspapers had not published the Japanese attacks on the Pact, the political circles in Germany had nevertheless been not a little surprised at the development of such a phenomenon. For instance, General Goring had in December last year lodged a protest with General OSHIMA against the attitude of the Japanese press. I expressed my opinion that it would therefore be greatly effective if the Foreign Minister by way of an interview with DAB or VB correspondents in Japan, would speak a few words directly to Germany, in order to disperse the suspicion prevalent in Germany, and at the same time to crash the hopes and speculations of our antagonists.

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"In answer to this, Mr. SATO stated as follows:

"1) With regard to his alleged interview with the French journalist Lachin, published in a Paris magazine, he himself seemed very much surprised. The conversation appearing in the excerpt from the Chronicle had been held long before his departure from Paris. He had not given Lachin any 'interview', but had merely

talked with him in a friendly manner. Lachin had published this conversation in an entirely rehashed form.

"Concerning his attitude towards the AntiComintern Pact, he referred me what he had stated in
our first conversation. As he explained to me at that
time, he was not informed until very late of the
negotiations which had been in progress in the previous year; strictly speaking, not until the cabinet
council in Tokyo had already given its approval to the
Pact.

"Since Japan was then on extremely bad terms with Britain and again, was not on particularly agreeable terms with the United States, he doubted the timeliness of the Pact. Circumstances being such, he feared that the Pact would aggravate further the diplomatic difficulties Japan had already been confronted with. He himself had always supported wholeheartedly the maintenance of friendly relations with Germany and with him the idea of fighting the Comintern was personally entertained. He had indeed made effort towards this direction already in the year of 1922 when Japan negotiated with the Bolshevists in Geneva.

"With regard to his attitude, towards the Pact in the Diet, he said that it was not so negative

as I had described it. He positively supported the Pact in the course of debate held by the Budget Committee of the House of Peers and especially in answer to Viscount of OKOCHI's interpollation, he emphatically supported the Pact.

"In subsequent meetings when he discussed in detail the question of the Russo-Japanese relationship, he naturally had to take into consideration the fact that the Anti-Comintern Pact had strained the Russo-Japanese relationship and had rendered impossible the signature of the fishery agreement. This gave rise to strong feeling of unrest in the public opinion in Japan.

"He thought it highly regrettable if in Germany a false impression had really been created as to his attitude towards the Pact. So, he promised me to take into consideration, in compliance with my views, the advisability of addressing to the German public of an appropriate opportunity.

"2) Entering into the general question of the Japanese foreign policy, the Minister added that he might as well inform me at this moment that his task in the immediate future lay in the establishment of a better relationship with Britain. In the relationship between the two, the unfavorable economic

considerations as above-mentioned had been playing a great part. Japanese exports had been confronted with great difficulties in the third power markets. In every respect it was imperative for Japan to arrive at an understanding with Britain. Therefore, if in future he referred to Britain alone, without mentioning the Japanese-German relationship, it would by no means be due to any disregard of the relationship with Germany, which relationship being really secured, but would be due merely to a desire for the necessary lightening of burden of the Japanese foreign policy.

"The Minister went on to say that he would endeavor to achieve an amicable settlement also with China. Although some influential political circles in Japan are desirous of keeping China or at least North China, in Japanese monopoly, so to speak, he does not share this desire. It is his belief that China should be left open to all powers.

"3) I assured the Minister that the German Government fully appreciated Japan's desire to achieve an amicable settlement with Britain and China and that such efforts were in perfect conformity with the German policy. Inasmuch as Germany on her part was also seeking to establish friendly relationship with England,

3 4

it would be much to her pleasure if our friend Japan succeeded in mitigating the strain between Britain and herself.

"Likewise Germany would heartily welcome realization of Sino-Japanese friendly relationship, because in addition to the very friendly relationship with Japan, Germany desired to maintain also with China agreeable relationship based upon various German interests in China.

"4) Ultimately the Minister SATO said that he thought any further aggravation of Japan's relationship with the Soviet Union undesirable, because the overall situation of Japan was still too uncertain for Japan to stand such aggravation. Manchuria had been consolilated but little and still required an investment on a large scale.

"Concerning the attitudes of various political factors in Japan towards the Pact, I have set forth my opinion in my report of 14 March 1937 - J.

No. 448/37 on the establishment of the German-Japanese commission in Tokyo. In conclusion I could sum up as follows:

"The Pact stands upon a sound basis and is approved of by influential political circles, especially by the army. In the face of this fact, the lukewarm

4 5

it would be much to her pleasure if our friend Japan succeeded in mitigating the strain between Britain and herself.

"Likewise Germany would heartily welcome realization of Sino-Japanese friendly relationship, because in addition to the very friendly relationship with Japan, Germany desired to maintain also with China agreeable relationship based upon various German interests in China.

"4) Ultimately the Minister SATO said that he thought any further aggravation of Japan's relationship with the Soviet Union undesirable, because the everall situation of Japan was still too uncertain for Japan to stand such aggravation. Manchuria had been consolilated but little and still required an investment on a large scale.

"Concerning the attitudes of various political factors in Japan towards the Pact, I have set forth my opinion in my report of 14 March 1937 - J.

No. 448/37 on the establishment of the German-Japanese commission in Tokyo. In conclusion I could sum up as follows:

"The Pact stands upon a sound basis and is approved of by influential political circles, especially by the army. In the face of this fact, the lukewarm

attitude of the new Foreign Minister which, however, had been rectified immediately, is of no decisive importance.

"Nevertheless, in order to forestall at the very beginning any attempt likely to impress the public opinion and especially the third powers as if the Pact were unimportant or as if it were to lose its significance by and by, I believed it not only proper but also necessary to hold this conversation. I felt that this objective has been, so far as it is possible, achieved. I have also received an impression that in his public speeches in the future Mr. SATO will pay more attention to Japan's relationship with Germany. The German Embassy in Nanking has safely received a copy of this report.

"(Signed) Von Dirksen," whom, I might suggest, was later the German ambassador to Great Britain.

Defense document 1428 is another in a series of telegrams from Ambassador SUGIMURA in Rome to Foreign Minister SATO in Tokyo in which the Ambassador conveyed the desire of the Italian government to conclude an anti-comintern pact with Japan, but advised not to accept the Italian proposal in consideration of its effect on the British attitude.

,

	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
1	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1428
2	will receive exhibit No. 2618.
3	(Whereupon, the document above
4	referred to was marked defense exhibit
5	No. 2618 and received in evidence.)
6	
7	I promise the second of the se
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
- 1	

.

IR. CUNNINGHAL (Reading):

"Telegram Despatched by Ambassador SUGIMURA and Addressed to Foreign Minister SATO, Dated May 25, 1937, Arriving at the Japanese Foreign Office on May 26 - No. 89.

"(1) On receiving your telegram No. 109
which was despatched in answer to my telegram
report No. 194 of last December, I conveyed the
import of your instructions to Italian Foreign
Linister Ciano. Me, on that occasion, pointed out
the second article of the Japanese-German AntiComintern Pact, and talked suspiciously of Japan's
attitudes.

"I explained, in reply, that there was no urgent need of concluding a Japanese-Italian anti-Comintern pact, and that, in consideration of the present friendly relations between Japan and Italy, necessary cooperation can be obtained even if there be no written pact, which could be concluded at any time if necessary. Further I suggested, in order to appease him, that it is right and proper to accomplish, before everything, the work of cultural exchange, then form some economical convention between Japan and Italy and lastly proceed to the conclusion of a political pact.

voided to commit myself to it, making suitable answers from the viewpoint I expressed in my telegram messages Nos. 55 and 63 respectively whenever the Italian Foreign Linistry intermit-

tently referred to the problem in question.

at the Parliament on May 13 to the effect that

Japan and Italy stand on a common standpoint in

defending themselves against the menace of the

Comintern. An address to the same effect was

again repeated that evening on the occasion of

the farewell dinner given in my honour under the

auspices of the Italian Foreign Minister.

the desire on the part of Italy to conclude an anti-Comintern pact with Japan. He said he had been persuading himself to abstain from proposing to conclude an anti-Comintern pact with Japan because he trusted me, and that it was desirable to make the understanding clear in written form on the occasion of my leaving Rome. He added further that he considered it was high time to conclude a political pact since the work of cultural exchange was proceeding smoothly and the

connercial convention, too, was on the threshold of being concluded.

"I avoided to give an immediate answer and put an end to the conversation with a remark to ask for time to deliberate on the matter.

"(3) The pivot of the anti-Comintern activity, in my own conceit, is the Japanese-German Pact. The Japanese-Italian cooperation is simply of complementary nature.

"To deliberate on the expected effect of
the Japanese-Italian cooperation, it is chiefly
then we deal with the Soviet Union that Italy's
cooperation is expected to be of some use from our
standpoint, enabling us, for instance, to demand
Italy to stop to provide the Soviet Union with arms.

solidarity against Britain into account, besides that against the Soviet Union. Granting that Italy actually has no intention to make the most of the anti-Comintern pact in carrying out her policy towards Britain, the realization of closer relations between Japan and Italy would stimulate Britain all the same, just as it was the case with the Japanese-German Pact. The point is how to find out a way to attain our purpose, with such secondary effect

_

checked within the least extent.

"(4) I hear that Japan and Britain are now in negotiation, with the object of readjusting their mutual interests. It goes without saying then that the rapprochement between Japan and Italy must not stand in the way of the Anglo-Japanese negotiation.

coat, though she has become a little trustworthy since Mussolini held the reins of power. In view of this danger, I hesitate to conclude a strict engagement with Italy. The friendly relations with Italy should not be cultivated through such inconvenient form as a written pact, but it is considered proper to seek them through freer, more elastic everyday diplomatic efforts. That is to say, the cooperation of Italy ought to be sought when the interests of the both nations agree, through the mutual contact of the Japanese Ambassador and the Italian Premier or Foreign Minister.

"Therefore, it is my advice that you should let the new Ambassador to Italy take your letter, addressed to the Italian Foreign Minister, to celebrate the improvement of the friendly relations between Japan and Italy, point out the

_

common standpoint on which the two nations stand, 1 and further, if possible, tell him that Japan has 2a desire or intention to cooperate with Italy when 3necessary in the future. (I mean by 'cooperate' here to cooperate on principle, and not to cooperate for police or military purpose. It would be quite necessary, when negotiations are opened for more substantial cooperation, to make searching inquiries in Italy's political relations with the League of Nations, the Soviet Union, Germany, Britain and France, respectively.) With this as a start, it is considered proper the new Ambassacor should negotiate directly with the Italian Foreign Mihister in a similar war as I have taken. "In short, it is my beliaf that the time 15 is not yet ripe for the conclusion of a Japanese-Italian pact or for the publication of a Japanese-Italian joint statement concerning the amti-Comintern question. However, Italy's attitudes being as ner parted above, my learning the rost without making apy reply to her proposal would dis appoint her and canse her misunderstand Japan's mot ives. The special fayour shown by the Italian airforc ;e nov towar is Jan, for instance, could no long er be expected on tizat occasion. Moreover, it is fer ared that Japan's

common standpoint on which the two nations stand, 1 and further, if possible, tell him that Japan has 2a desire or intention to cooperate with Italy when mecessary in the future. (I mean by 'cooperate' here to cooperate on principle, and not to cooperate for police or military purpose. It would be quite necessary, when negotiations are opened for more substantial cooperation, to make searching inquiries in Italy's political relations with the League of Nations, the Soviet Union, Germany, Britain and France, respectively.) With this as a start, it is considered proper the new Ambassador should negotiate directly with the Italian Foreign Minister in a similar war as I have taken. "In short, it is my beliaf that the time is not yet ripe for the conclusion of a Japanese-Italian pact or for the publication of a Japanese-Italian joint statement concerning the amti-Comintern question. However, Italy's attitudes being as nor ported above, my leaving the rost without making any reply to her proposal would dis appoint her and canse her misunderstand Japan's mot ives. The special fayour shown by the Italian airforc :e nov towar is Jan, for instance, could no long; or be expected on tizat occasion. Moreover, it is fer ared that Japan's

request for cooperation might possibly be rejected when it is actually needed.

"So far is my humble opinion as to the measures to maintain the friendly relations with Italy while avoiding to be engrossed in it. I should be very much obliged if you would reveal your views of it even in outline."

I wish to quote as follows a passage from an address of Foreign Minister HIROTA at the session of the Diet on 27 July 1937, which was admitted as exhibit No. 2497 in transcript pages 20,818 - 20,819:

"As regards the present incident, the Japanese Government have maintained a policy of seeking a settlement on the spot and nonagravation of the situation," speaking of the China problem, showing that Japan was continually striving to settle the China problem because of the menace of Russia on the north.

I now refer to exhibit 486-A (transcript pages 5975-5980) of the 28th of August, 1937, to show that Germany was opposed to the China Incident, heeping military advisors in and supplying weapons to China despite Japanese protests, which shows that there was no effective collaboration between Japan

and Germany as a result of the anti-Comintern relations.

I draw the attention of the Tribunal to the testimony of HASHIMOTO, Gun, Chief Staff Officer of the China Garrison Army, about the time the China Incident broke out, in his affidavit admitted as exhibit No. 2487, in transcript page 20,623. The witness HASHIMOTO testified that, immediately after the outbreak of the Marco Polo Bridge incident the Chief of General Staff, by telegram to the command of the China Garrison Army, "ordered adherence to the non-spreading policy, and especially the avoidance of the use of force to prevent the spreading of the incident," proving, as I say, the same contention that Japan was trying to keep at peace with China to avoid the menace of Russia on the north.

I wish to correct that. That is "twenty" instead of "2000" in the record.

L r n b

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

Referring to exhibit No. 2488, I call the attention of the Court to the following passages in the testimony of the witness TANAKA, Shinichi in his affidavit admitted as exhibit No. 2488.

"According to the information received by the General Staff as to the Russian preparations for war with Japan, she had a standing peace-time strength of 28 sniper divisions, 45 cavalry divisions, 6 mechanized brigades and 1,900 aircraft for the Far East. The minimum wartime strength that she could use against Japan amounted to 31 or 50 divisions."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, it is, of course, too late to object to the reading of that passage into the transcript, but I would like to point out, for the sake of other documents which will be called later, that this is a mere argument of evidence already introduced.

THE PRESIDENT: Mas it read before?

MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir; and, therefore, the material appears twice in the transcript. For that reason I would like to object to that general method of arguing the case at this time.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: That sounds very much like the objection we made on the same proposition when

& G r e e

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

the prosecution were putting on their ease in the phases, and we objected because they were duplicating. The reason I am doing it now is to avoid bringing a witness or preparing a special affidavit to prove this one little fact which I have merely called attention to in the record.

THE PRESIDENT: A brief reference to earlier evidence, if appropriate, is not objectionable. The prosecution did it during cross-examination, but they merely referred to documents by number. A brief description might be added. However, preced with the next matter, Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I refer now to exhibit
486 H, transcript page 600, 26th of January, 1938,
to show that, one, until 26/1/1938 Germany kept
military advisers in China and supplied arms to
China; two, change of the German policy to China was
caused because Germany believed in Japan's victory,
not necessarily because of the Anti-Comintern Pact.
This shows no collaboration between Germany and
Japan as a result of the Anti-Comintern Pact. This
is a prosecution document which we would have adopted
if the prosecution had not read it into the record
in toto.

THE PRESIDENT: You are going beyond a mere

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23

25

description.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Exhibit 592, transcript page 5,888, to show that Japan refused to grant Germany any preferential treatment concerning trade in comparison with third powers shows definite lack of collaboration between the two countries.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Transcript page 6,588.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: 6,588. I suggest that exhibit 592 is transcript page 6,588.

I now refer to exhibit 594, transcript page 6,597 to 99 to show that in North China no preferential treatment, even no favorable consideration, was given to German trade in Japan. Page 6,597 to 6,599 of the record.

Next I refer to exhibit 595, which is pages 6,606 to 6,616 of the record, to show that Japan caused heavy damage to important German commercial interests in China, which shows that there was no effective collaboration between the two countries.

I now offer defense document 1477. Paragraph one is all that I'm interested in in that document, and I only wish to read that into the record rather than offering the document and having it processed through the document division.

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

bunal, we object to this document. It deals with Germany's claims in respect of preferential economic treatment in China and with the controversy in this issue between Germany and Japan. I cannot understand in what way this document is relevant. There always could be and probably were certain controversies and disputable questions between Germany and Japan, and this does not preclude the military and political alliance between them, the documents concerning which were submitted by the prosecution. The document introduced by the defense neither proves nor refutes any issues of this case. Therefore, it is of no help. I ask to reject this document as irrelevant.

TR. CUNNINGHAM: To show that the document -the Anti-Comintern Pact had no military aspects and
was made in 1926 and still, in 1938, Japan was negotiating with Germany to withdraw the military advisers in China occurs to me to show that there was no
effective collaboration between the two nations except against communism.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Cunningham. Do you wish to say anything?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I finished.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Tribunal

upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer in evidence only one portion of defense document 1177, broadcast of Prince KONOYE on the 3rd of November, 1938, in which Prince KONOYE, Prime Minister of Japan at the time, expressed Japan's determination to eradicate communistic influence in China, which was the gravest menace to Japan.

You don't have that document? Well, we'll pass by that.

ir. COMYNS CARR: It has already been rejected once on the ground that it is already an exhibit.

THE PRESIDENT: It would be rejected again for the same reason.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If it is already an exhibit, I would like to read a few lines from it.

"Japan is in no way opposed to collaboration with foreign powers nor does she desire to impair their legitimate rights and interests. If the powers, understanding her true motives, will formulate policies suited to the new conditions, Japan will be glad to cooperate with them."

THE MONITOR: Mr. Cunningham, from what page are you reading, sir?

1 2

upholds the objection and rejects the document.

only one portion of defense document 1177, broadcast of Prince KONOYE on the 3rd of November, 1938, in which Prince KONOYE, Prime Minister of Japan at the time, expressed Japan's determination to eradicate communistic influence in China, which was the gravest menace to Japan.

You don't have that document? Well, we'll pass by that.

ir. COMYNS CARR: It has already been rejected once on the ground that it is already an exhibit.

THE PRESIDENT: It would be rejected again for the same reason.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If it is already an exhibit, I would like to read a few lines from it.

"Japan is in no way opposed to collaboration with foreign powers nor does she desire to impair their legitimate rights and interests. If the powers, understanding her true motives, will formulate policies suited to the new conditions, Japan will be glad to cooperate with them."

THE MONITOR: Mr. Cunningham, from what page are you reading, sir?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Page 4.

2

THE MONITOR: Thank you.

3

!R. CUNNINGH/M: Document No. 1177.

4

THE MONITOR: Please proceed.

5

MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Reading) "Japan's zeal

7

for combatting communism is certainly well known by this time. The aim of the Comintern is to sovietize

8

the Orient and to overturn the world. Japan is firm-

9

ly determined to eradicate the communistic influence which is behind the so-called 'long term resistance'

10

of the Chiang regime."

12

I now offer defense document 1312 in evi-

13

14

dence. It will be shown by this document that in November, 1938 Germany was protesting to Japan, and

15

vigorously, as to the discriminatory treatment she

16

was receiving in China in the economic field. There

18

was, in fact, no collaboration between Japan and

19

Germany in China.

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

20

GUNERAL VASILIEV: I object to this document

22

on the same ground upon which I objected to the document 1477 which was rejected by the Tribunal. This

23

is practically an identical document. It also deals

24

25

with the question of economic relations between

Germany and Japan in China. This document is

irrelevant to any issues in this case in the same degree as document 1477.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

IR. CUNNINGHAM: I submit that it isn't too important that we have this document because I'm satisfied that the prosecution's documents that are referred to as exhibits have established the fact that there was no effective collaboration between Japan and Germany after the Anti-Comintern Pact and have done it emphatically.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document,

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer only a portion of defense document Nos. 206 (28 and 29), excerpts from the book "Ten Years in Japan" by Ambassador Grew, in evidence to show that, although the negotiations between Japan and Germany were carried on until 1939, the trend of events gradually obligated Japan as early as in the middle of April and May of the same year to launch into another course -- new course of policy under strictest secrecy, parallel with the negotiations with Germany and Italy. And this document, as you know, is the diary of the man who is considered one of the best known authorities on Japanese-American relations alive.

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

GENER L VASILIEV: If it please the Tribunal, I would like to object to the document
206 (28). In this case, the book written by Mr.
Grew is not sufficient evidence because the things
related by Mr. Grew in this book were told to him
by a man whose name was Dooman and who received
this information from YONAI. I don't think evidence
of such kind should be admitted.

IR. CUNNINGH/M: Your Honor, I withdraw the documents to save any unnocessary delay in the matter because I have sufficient proof on this specific problem on other matters.

I now offer in evidence defense document 1314 which is a telegram from the German Foreign Office, sent by German Undersecretary of State "eizacker to the German Ambassador in Tokyo. This is the German draft of the proposed treaty known as the "Strengthening Anti-Comintern Pact" between Japan, Germany and Italy and also shows the situation of the negotiations thereupon which were under way at that time between the three countries.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1314

will receive exhibit No. 2619.

```
(Thereupon, the doctrient above re-
1
       ferred to was marked defense exhibit No.
2
       2619 and received in evidence.)
3
4
5
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Skipping the formal parts: 1 "Personally for the Ambassador: 2 "Enclosed herewith you receive the papers 3 announced in the telegram No. 158 for your personal 4 information. They are: 5 "1) The draft of a pact of consultation and 6 assistance between the German, Japanese and Italian 7 Governments, consisting of 8 "(a) the pact proper 9 "(b) the signing protocol, and 10 "(c) the secret accessory protocol; 11 "2) The draft of a new article, to be in-12 serted in the pact before the last article, concerning 13 the relation of the tripartite pact to the pact between 14 Germany and Italy; 15 "3) Draft of a note, to be presented by the 16 Japanese Ambassador before signing, concerning even-17 tual explanation of the Japanese Government on diplo-18 matic inquiries; 19 "4) Draft of a formulated declaration to 20 be made verbally by the Japanese Ambassador likewise 21 before signing. 22 23 "The concurrence of the Japanese Government 24 to the papers 2, 3, and 4 has not yet been obtained.

"The texts of the above-mentioned papers

are as follows: (specifically, the whole enclosure in blue-cross, then the enclosed papers)." 3 "Pact of Consultation and Assistance 4 between Japan, Italy, and Germany. 5 "The Imperial Japanese Government, 6 "The Italian Government, "and the Government of the German Reich, "in appreciation of the fact that the friendly relations between Japan, Italy, and Germany 10 since the conclusion of the Agreement against the 11 Communist International of the 25th November 1936 12 13 have grown in cordiality, "in the conviction, that the international 14 activities of the Communist International threaten 15 16 the peace in Europe and Asia, 17 "determined in the spirit of the above-18 mentioned Agreement to strengthen the defense against 19 the communistic disintegration in Europe and Asia, 20 and to preserve the common interests of the three 21 contracting powers, 22 "have agreed upon the following provisions: 23 "Article I. 24 "In case one of the contracting powers should 25 be drawn into difficulties by the attitude of a power

or powers not party to this pact, the contracting powers shall enter forthwith into a consultation concerning measures to be taken jointly.

"Article II.

"In case one of the contracting powers should be threatened without provocation by a power or powers not party to this pact, the other contracting powers engage to render to the threatened power political and economic support for the removal of this threat.

"Article III.

"In case one of the contracting powers should become object of an unprovoked attack on the part of a power or powers not party to this pact, the other contracting powers engage to render their help and assistance.

"The three contracting powers shall in this case forthwith consult and decide the necessary measures for carrying out the obligation provided in the foregoing paragraph.

"Article IV

"The official text of this pact is prepared in the Japanese, Italian and German languages.

"The pact comes into force on the day of signing and remains in effect for the period of five years. The contracting powers will at a proper date

3

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

prior to the expiration of this term come to an understanding as to the future form of their cooperation.

"As in evidence thereof the plenipotentiaries, duly entrusted their governments, signed and sealed this pact.

"Three official copies were prepared in --", so and so.

"SIGNING PROTOCOL

"On the occasion, of the signing of the pact which was concluded today, the plenipotentiaries have agreed upon the following:

"(A) Concerring Article II and III of the pact, the threat or the attack against Manchukuo shall be considered, in view of the provision of the second paragraph of the Protocol concluded between Japan and Manchukuo of the 15th September 1932, as the threat or the attack against Japan.

"(B) Concerning the second paragraph of Article IV of the pact, in case at the time of the expiration of its term support or help and assistance based on Article II or III is still being rendered, the pact remains in force until the end of the situation, which necessitates the support

or help and assistance."

2

"SECRET ACCESSORY PROTOCOL

4

"On the occasion of the signing of the pact which was concluded today, the named plenipotentiaries have agreed upon the following:

7

8

9

10

11

"(A) Concerning Article II and III of the pact the competent authorities of the three contracting powers shall examine in advance, as soon as possible after the pact becomes effective, what individual possibilities of conflict exist and in what manner and to what extent the contracting powers shall render each other support or help and assistance according to the geographical circumstances.

them the contracting powers engage not to make

the existing treaties with third powers, which are

in contradiction to the provisions of this pact, the

contracting powers shall not be bound by such obli-

separate armistice or peace.

"(B) In case of a war commonly fought by

"(C) In case obligations exist based on

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

gations.

24

25

"(D) This secret accessory protocol shall neither be published nor communicated to third powers without consent of the contracting powers.

or help and assistance."

2

"SECRET ACCESSORY PROTOCOL

4 5

"On the occasion of the signing of the pact which was concluded today, the named plenipotentiaries have agreed upon the following:

6

8

9

10

11

"(A) Concerning Article II and III of the pact the competent authorities of the three contracting powers shall examine in advance, as soon as possible after the pact becomes effective, what individual possibilities of conflict exist and in what manner and to what extent the contracting powers shall render each other support or help and assist-

12

13

shall render each other support or help and assist ance according to the geographical circumstances.

14

16

"(B) In case of a war commonly fought by them the contracting powers engage not to make separate armistice or peace.

17

19

20

"(C) In case obligations exist based on the existing treaties with third powers, which are in contradiction to the provisions of this pact, the contracting powers shall not be bound by such obligations.

21

23

24

"(D) This secret accessory protocol shall neither be published nor communicated to third powers without consent of the contracting powers.

5

6

8 9

65

bas.

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

"(E) This secret accessory protocol has the same term of validity as the pact and the signing protocol. It forms with these both an integral unit."

"PAPER NO. 4

"On instruction by my Government I ask your Excellency to take note that Japan can carry out the obligations, accepted in Article III of the pact, to render help and assistance in a military respect at the present and in the immediate future only to a restricted extent. The details as to the military assistance to be rendered from time to time in the future, shall be reserved to the discussions provided in the secret accessory protocol."

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half-past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Tuesday, 20 May 1947, at 0930.)